Group Memory CTPAC

September 23, 2009 - So. Cal

Next Meeting dates

January 12, 2010 – No. Cal April 13th, 2010 – So. Cal July 21, 2010 – No. Cal Oct. 20, 2010 – So. Cal

Bin List & Great Ideas

Group Decisions

All decisions made will be double underlined in the body of the notes below.

1. (Date)

1

Upshot

These are the assignments made at the meeting. As new ones are added they will be appended to the list. As assignments are completed they will be lined out with a strike-through, but left on the list until the next meeting. This will provide a running record of assignments made at these meetings.

From July 2006 meeting

From January 2007 meeting

| 117 | Anthony | Take the Trunion / Tridem issue to WASHTO in COLORADO??) San Diego. Send information to Eric for | 4/xx/07 |
|-----|---------|--|--------------------------|
| | | distribution. | 08/14/07 |
| | | | oct 1& 2, |
| | | | 2007 |
| | | | hold |

From August 20, 2007 meeting

| 126 | Bob Hartman Hani Romani | Work with Bob S. Look at the manual on the web site to ensure that it is valid and reflects the state of the program and understandings between industry and Caltrans. (see discussion notes outline # 3) | 4/08/08 April meeting On-going. | |
|-----|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
|-----|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|

From April 24, 2008 meeting

| 116.117. pm 2 1, 2000 modumy | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 140 | Hani Kien | Tridem: Group would like a response to equally dividing the load. Does load need to be exactly equal or can it be 5 or 10% off? Caltrans needs to look at this from administrative point of view. (see discussion notes outline # 9) | J une 1, 2008 10/1/08 Next mtg |
| | | | date 5/15/09 |
| | | | or roroo |
| | | | 9/23/09 |
| | | | 1/12/10 |
| | | | |

From August 7, 2008 meeting

| 144 | All | From here on out if you cannot make a due date, need to provide a written reason why the date is going to be missed. Send the notes to Eric S. 30 days ahead of meeting date. | Ongoing - |
|-----|------------------|---|---|
| 147 | Vaughn Greg D | Take issue to Tridem work group. Tow trucks that are overweight by design need permits for purple weight. They are within chart weight. | 10/15/08 Next mtg 6/17/09 9/23/09 |

From December 10, 2008 meeting

From March 19, 2009 meeting

| 152 | Kien | Look into the limitations of the STARS system can we leave a blank field for alpha numeric? Respond back to Rick Talk to Larry and Steve. CT IT (added June 17, 2009) | 4/2/09 9/23/09 1/12/10 |
|-----|-----------|---|------------------------------|
| 156 | Industry/ | Provide input on priority for bridge strengthening upgrade. | 4/16/09 |
| | Rick Hill | | 7/1/09 |

| 157 | James Anderson. | Monitor and report back next meeting on bounced checks for annuals. | 9/23 meeting |
|--------|--------------------|--|-----------------|
| From S | September 23, 20 | 009 | <u> </u> |
| 158 | Robert | Look into the requirements for track width and tire policy for tires for transports. (Effective track width is one part of it.) (see discussion notes outline # 9) Will report back to the committee for discussion. | 1/12/10 |
| 159A | James A | James will contact Greg Dineen about the "60,000 pounds per crane on a tridem group" to offer preliminary input. | today |
| 160 | Tim M. | Fax in the information to Robert O. on "STARS permits applications needing inspection reports." (see discussion notes outline # 11) James will check into this and get back to Tim. | 10/3/09 |
| | | | |

Critique From September 23, 2009 meeting:

| What went well | What Needs Improvement |
|----------------|------------------------|
| Pizza – great | |
| | |

Critique from June 17, 2009 meeting:

| | : - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
|------------------------------|---|
| What went well | What Needs Improvement |
| Corrected the food issue. | Start a half hour later in the morning. |
| CT participation. | 8:30 or 9:00? |
| Jokes got better and less. | Start afternoon meeting at noon. End by 2. |
| Kept the meeting moving. | End by 2. |
| Nice facility. | |
| Work groups were productive. | |
| 2 proposals adopted. | |

Caltrans Transportation Permits Advisory Council (CT PAC)

Purpose:

The purpose of the Caltrans Transportation Permit Advisory Council (CTPAC) is to provide a forum for government and industry viewpoints on State transportation permitting policies and procedures. Both Caltrans and industry believe that this is an effective way of understanding and improving the permit process. CTPAC representatives use council and steering committee meetings to update their members on changes and help resolve permit related issues. (discussed and reviewed on January 8, 2008)

1. Ground rules:

- 1. 1. Begin and end on time
- 1. 2. No side conversation
- 1. 3. Pagers and cell phones to stun.
- 1. 4. Raise your hand when you want to talk;
- 1. 5. Speak up; silence is consent.

2. Interest based bargaining

- 2. 1. Focus on finding common ground.
- 2. 2. Many times positions are in conflict even when the interests are held in common.

| | | Topic / Lead Organization | More information on item |
|---|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | To be developed into |
| | | | Purpose, Process and Proposed Outcome |
| ı | | | |

| 1 | Welcome, purpose of today's meeting, schedule review, introductions/ | Introduce Steering Committee Members. |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | Eric Sauer and James Anderson | |

3. Opening comments

- 3. 1. Aaron Nicholson will be a supervisor in the North Region permits office. (916-322-6001)
- 3. 2. Kien Le will be carrying double workload.
- 3. Notes will be posted on the Permits Oversize overweight page of the Caltrans internet web site.

| 2 | 12:05 | Public Comments | Industry and Caltrans opening comments. |
|---|-------|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | |
| 3 | 12:10 | Charter Review and Upshot status | Status of the items on the upshot list? |
| 4 | 12:15 | Crane Group / Alvin Mangalindan | Status of the workgroup and what are the next steps? |

4. Crane Group / Alvin Mangalindan

- 4. 1. Nothing new to report request is pending response from Caltrans on 60,000 pounds tridem for cranes.
- 4. 2. Caltrans has questions on the proposal. James will follow up with Greg Dineen. (also see upshot # 159)

| 5 | 12:25 | | Status of the workgroup and what are the next steps? |
|---|-------|--|--|
|---|-------|--|--|

Annuals / Matt Klenske

5. 1. Looking into 7-8-9 axle transponders - Will find out how many units will be needed – The company is in Sacramento.

| 6 12:35 Tridem / Leon Franks, Doug Murray Status of the workgroup and we next steps? | what are the |
|--|--------------|
|--|--------------|

- 6. Tridem / Leon Franks, Doug Murray
 - 6. 1. Draft on four group tridem, split weight policy has been sent out for review will be sent to Caltrans after we get a little more input from industry. Expect to have the proposal to CT by next week.

| 7 | | | Status of the workgroup and where do we go from here? |
|---|--|--|---|
|---|--|--|---|

- 7. Variance -- CHP Escort / Leon Franks
 - Applicable to permits requiring Structure Maintenance review: We have clearance from Caltrans to use min/max variance with weight of 1 foot on tractors, and one foot for push tractors, and 6 inches on inner bridge. Dollies and jeeps will remain static.
 - 7. 2. This is policy now. We will be looking into getting further distance -

| 8 | 12:55 | Fixed Loads / Greg Dineen | Status of the workgroup and where do we go from here? |
|---|-------|---------------------------|---|
|---|-------|---------------------------|---|

- 8. Fixed Loads / Greg Dineen
 - 8. 1. No news -

| 9 | | Transportation Dermita Manual / | What's been completed? Next steps? |
|---|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|---|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|

- 9. Status of Development of Transportation Permits Manual / Bob Shepard, Robert Orozco
 - 9. 1. Additional information to be provided by industry on using technology and manufacturing the wide base tires This is a technological proposal, not just a Michelin proposal. The technology is recognized by many trucking associations in the country, European technical organizations, etc. This is not about Michelin The proposal as revised needs to be posted. This proposal deals with track width (72") (rather than overall axel width) which is a true measure of vehicle stability. Wider track makes for greater stability.
 - 9. 2. Still awaiting questions from Caltrans. What else is CT looking for? James will look into the internal specifications for tires. (see upshot # 158)
 - 9. 3. Caltrans states the issue is the changing standard 96 inch down to 92 inch axle. The tires are not at issue. CT needs to look into the requirements and will approve any tire that meets those requirements.

- 9. 4. CT has shared Chapter 1 with the work group for review CT is working to continue updating all chapters sand will forward to work group when they become available looking to remove obsolete language as part of the update.
- 9. 5. Outcome:
 - 9. 5. 1. Robert will Look into the requirements for track width and tire policy for tires for transports. (Effective track width is one part of it.)

| 10 | | Droposale? | New topics for discussion? Additional proposals from the floor? |
|----|--|------------|--|
|----|--|------------|--|

10. New proposals:

- Has the "60,000 pounds per crane on a tridem group" been assigned? A: It was
 assigned to the crane workgroup. CT Comment is that there are some concerns with
 the proposal before it is formally assigned to a work group –
- 10. 2. This proposal needs to be voted by this group to formally assign it to a work group -
- 10. 3. Outcome:
 - 10. 3. 1. James will contact Greg Dineen about the "60,000 pounds per crane on a tridem group" to offer preliminary input. (see upshot # 159)
 - This proposal is voted today and approved to go formally to the crane group.

| 11 | 1:25 | Transportation Permits service feedback – How is CT doing? | Discussion and information gathering. |
|----|------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | Complaint form - Draft | |

Transportation Permits service feedback – How is CT doing?

- 11. STARS permits applications needing inspection reports
 - 11. 1. Concern brought by industry: Change of internal policy regarding STARS permits applications needing inspection reports. This seems to be something new This is an issue normally worked out with the CHP, but now permit writers are also asking for this. Question: What has changed?
 - 11. 2. Outcome
 - 11. 2. 1. Tim will fax information in to James Anderson on the issue of "STARS permits applications needing inspection reports." (SEE UPSHOT # 160)
- 12. Frustration working with Caltrans
 - 12. 1. We get late news on denied permits this is bad for our business. Rules seem to change daily.

- Denials for permits submitted when they come at the end of the day there is nothing we can do about this. California based companies do not need to show their inspection reports, typically.
- 12. 3. CT response: Please send us details on these incidents so we can find out what is happening. We need specifics to correct problems. James Anderson's (<u>Fax 916-653-6080.</u>)

| 12 | | ATPS (Formerly TPMS) Update / James Anderson | Five minute update, schedule review |
|----|--|---|-------------------------------------|
|----|--|---|-------------------------------------|

- 13. ATPS (Formerly TPMS) Update / James Anderson
 - 13. 1. This is being reviewed by the Caltrans IT Project Management office we are verifying costs.
 - 13. 2. Approval is anticipated this fall funding is a separate issue. Approval from the Office of the Chief Information Officer.

| 13 | 1:50 | Review action items, next meeting date, time and place. | |
|----|------|---|--|
| 14 | 2:00 | Adjourn / Eric Sauer and James Anderson | |